

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/669,197	09/24/2003	Carl J. Skeps	58695US002	2656
32692 75	0 11/05/2004		EXAMINER	
3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY			WALLING, MEAGAN S	
PO BOX 33427	1			
ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2863	
•			DATE MAILED: 11/05/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/669,197 SKEPS ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 2863 Meagan S Walling All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Meagan S Walling. (3)Brian Szymanski (Reg. No. 39,523). (2) John Barlow. (4)Steven Floeder (inventor). Date of Interview: 03 November 2004. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)⊠ No. If Yes, brief description: . Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 2. Identification of prior art discussed: Wolf (US 4,173,441). Agreement with respect to the claims f was reached. g was not reached. g was not reached. gSubstance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant discussed how the disclosed invention differed from the prior art. A suggestion was made that the claim language should be amended to more particularly show that the "identified regions" in the extracting step are a subset of the "digital information" from the imaging step.</u>

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)